Measuring diachronic evolution of evaluative adjectives with word embeddings: English, Norwegian, and Russian

sculptural

onumental 1980

wrotch

architectural

imental 1970

herculean

monumental 1990

encyclopedic

Julia Rodina[†], Daria Bakshandaeva[†], Vadim Fomin[†], Andrey Kutuzov^{*}, Samia Touileb^{*}, Erik Velldal^{*}

[†] National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia; * University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

epic

 ${julia.rodina97 \mid dbakshandaeva \mid wadimiusz} @gmail.com, {and reku \mid samiat \mid erikve} @ifi.uio.no$

monumental 2000

Rigid or flexible: evaluative adjectives change faster?

 Many evaluative adjectives in English have completely switched their sentiment during the last 150 years (consider 'terrific' or *'sick'*) [Hamilton et al., 2016a]



fascinating

awesome

evaluative adjectives in general. Is there a general trend in human languages that makes evaluative adjectives change more intensely over time?

Data: 5 decades, 3 languages



- Corpus of Historical American English (COHA) for English;
 - ► NBdigital corpus for Norwegian;
 - Russian National Corpus (RNC) for Russian;

Similar or different: 2 groups of adjectives

- Sentiment lexicons: the source of evaluative adjectives:
 - ► English, Norwegian: English lexicons from [Hu and Liu, 2004] translated to Norwegian;
 - Russian: RuSentiLex lexicon [Loukachevitch and Levchik, 2016].



- Positive t-statistic values mean that evaluative adjectives change faster than other types of adjectives, according to particular metrics;
- Negative values mean that evaluative adjectives change slower.



CBOW embedding models [Mikolov et al., 2013] trained on each decade for each of the three languages.

Frequency matters

Correlation of semantic change speed and normalized word frequency:

Measuring the degree of semantic shift between two time points...

- 1. Jaccard distance: between sets of 10 nearest neighbours of one word (by cosine distance) in two embedding models [Jaccard, 1901];
- 2. **Procrustes alignment**: the models' vector spaces are first aligned using an SVD-based orthogonal transformation; then cosine distance is calculated between word vectors in transformed models [Hamilton et al., 2016b];
- 3. Global Anchors: the degree of semantic change is the cosine distance between the vectors of a word's similarities to all other words in the intersection of two models' vocabularies ('anchors') [Yin et al., 2018].

Method	English	Norwegian	Russian	
	Mean distances			
Jaccard	-0.37	-0.33	-0.32	
Procrustes	-0.19	-0.21	-0.17	
Global Anchors	0.29	-0.08	0.11	
	Mean	deltas from	1960s	
Jaccard	0.05	0.10	0.08	
Procrustes	0.07	0.12	0.08	
Global Anchors	0.07	0.12	0.05	

- Statistically significant correlations between word frequencies and the intensity of temporal semantic shifts, across all languages
- More frequent words => lower intensity from mean distances, higher intensity from the mean deltas technique (these words are more prone to steady semantic shifting)

Results disprove the initial hypothesis

... and across the whole time span

Mean pairwise distances:

- measures the degree of 'semantic jitter'
- simple mean between the 4 pairwise distances



Mean deltas from the 60s:

- measures the 'steadiness' of the shift
- the distance of the current word representation to its representation in the initial time point is calculated
- ▶ distance increased => one point is added to the word's score, distance decreased => one point is

All adjectives					
Method	English	Norwegian	Russian	Met	
# fillers	8994	3989	7535		
Freq diff	0.00001	0.00003	0.00001	Free	
	Mean	pairwise dis	tances		
Jaccard	-11.08	-4	-15.05	Jac	
Procrustes	-15.52	-5.04	-12.01	Pro	
Global Anchors	11.91	-4.40	12.62	Glo	
	Mean	deltas from	1960s		
Jaccard	3.28	0	0	Jac	
Procrustes	2 98	0	3 92	Pro	

3.24

Method	English	Norwegian	Russian	
# fillers	1133	571	929	
Freq diff	0	0	-0.00002	
	Mean distances			
Jaccard	0	-1.68	-2.54	
Procrustes	-4.77	-3.24	-5.03	
Global Anchors	-3.70	-4.07	0	
	Mean d	eltas from tl	he 1960s	
Jaccard	0	0	-2.44	
Procrustes	0	2.94	0	
Global Anchors	0	0	-1 79	



subtracted; then, the average score is calculated



Mean pairwise distances: evaluative adjectives change over time less intensely; the same when controlling for word frequencies.

3.11

• Mean deltas: evaluative adjectives do not differ from other adjectives with respect to the 'steadiness' of diachronic semantic changes.

Evaluative adjectives are not more prone to semantic shifts than other adjective types (at least in these 3 languages).

Meaning goes in circles



Alterations in meaning of the Russian adjective бескомпромиссный' (*uncompromising*): from ruthless over fanatical, passion, later conviction, heroic to intransigence, confrontation

Re-use our data!

Global Anchors

3.57



https://github.com/ltgoslo/diachronic_multiling_adjectives Parts of this work has been carried out in context of the SANT project, as funded by the Research Council of Norway (project

